

UNDRIP & Global Indigenous Rights Pamphlet

Your task is to choose one country and focus on a specific case involving Indigenous peoples and their rights. Everyone should have a unique case study (first-come-first-serve) and posted in the Google Classroom post. You will create a pamphlet using Canva (similar to an infographic). You should avoid heavy text like an essay and use short text, relying on visuals such as images, charts, and maps to engage your reader. Make sure any direct quotes, statistics, and other sources are properly cited. Citations can be in any format (APA, MLA, or Chicago), but a references section must be included (you can use a citation generator like NoodleTools). You will also post your pamphlet on the Google Classroom 'stream' and comment on your classmates' work, including at least one question. Everyone should receive a comment on their project.

Step 1: Indigenous Rights in Your Chosen Country

- How are Indigenous rights recognized in this country?
- What legal protections or concepts exist?

Examples may include: Māori in New Zealand, Sámi in Northern Europe, Inuit in Greenland, Mapuche in Chile and Argentina, or Indigenous peoples in other regions. Indigenous people are spread across over 90 countries globally (IWGIA). You can visit www.iwgia.org/en/countries for ideas.

Step 2: Case Study

Focus on one real case or conflict involving Indigenous peoples in that country. Describe what happened, who was involved, and what rights were being challenged or defended.

Step 3: UNDRIP Connection

Connect your case to 2–3 relevant articles from the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP). While UNDRIP is not legally binding, it is considered “soft law” and sets important global standards. Use it to help explain whether Indigenous rights were respected or limited.

- Which UNDRIP articles apply? How do they connect to the case study?
- Were Indigenous rights upheld or recognized in relation to UNDRIP?

Step 4: Comparison to Canada

Compare your chosen country to Canada using relevant legal concepts. For example, you might want to compare whether the country has similar frameworks to the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, duty to consult, self-government, treaty rights, etc.

- What is similar between Canada and your chosen country? What is different?
- How does the recognition of Indigenous and Aboriginal law compare?
- Do you think a case like this could occur in Canada? Would the outcome be different?

UNDRIP & Global Indigenous Rights Pamphlet

Criteria	4 – Excellent	3 – Proficient	2 – Developing	1 – Beginning
Design (Layout, Colour Scheme, Fonts)	Layout is highly organized and visually balanced. Colour scheme is visually appealing and enhances content. Fonts are consistent, legible, and support readability.	Layout is generally organized with minor inconsistencies. Colour scheme works but may have minor clashes. Fonts are mostly consistent and readable.	Layout shows limited organization or has distracting elements. Colour scheme has noticeable clashes or inconsistencies. Fonts are sometimes hard to read or inconsistent.	Layout is disorganized and difficult to follow. Colour scheme is confusing or distracting. Fonts are hard to read or not used consistently.
Content (Terms, Facts, Quantity, Quality)	Accurate and appropriate legal terminology is used and clearly understood. More than sufficient information supports ideas and claims. Data clearly supports arguments. Information is from credible sources.	Appropriate terminology is used with minor errors or unclear explanations. Adequate amount of information included. Data generally supports claims. Sources are mostly credible.	Limited use of terminology or inconsistent understanding. Insufficient depth of information. Data weakly supports claims or is unclear. Some questionable sources used.	Little to no appropriate terminology. Minimal or missing factual information. Data does not support claims or is inaccurate. Sources are unreliable or missing.
Case Study (Depth & Accuracy)	Case study is clearly explained with strong detail (who, what happened, rights involved). Demonstrates clear understanding and relevance to Indigenous rights.	Case study is clear with minor missing details or explanation gaps.	Case study is present but incomplete or unclear in key areas.	Case study is missing, incorrect, or unclear.
Clarity (Message, Efficiency, Impression)	Clear central claim throughout. Efficient use of space with no unnecessary visuals or text. Strong, clear overall impression.	Main idea is clear and understandable. Few unnecessary visuals or minor clutter. Good overall impression.	Main idea is present but not always clear. Some unnecessary or distracting visuals. Mixed or unclear impression.	Main idea is unclear or missing. Excessive or confusing visuals. Poor overall impression.